

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/993,189	ABBOTT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ishwar (I. B.) Patel	2827	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Being allowed

(1) Ishwar (I. B.) Patel.

(3) _____.

(2) W. Daniel Swayze, Jr. (Reg. 34,478).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 September 2004

Time: _

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

2,3,6,7,9,10 and 18-21.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Called applicant's representative, as the amendment filed on August 2, 2004, did not overcome the previous objection of lack of antecedent basis for the amended claims 2, 3, 9 and 10. Applicant's representative gave authorization to amend the claims by examiner's amendment, based on the draft faxed by applicant's representative. .